

# 1 Action Points from ISH3, OFH2, ISH4 and CAH2: w/c 24 February 2025

Table 1-1 Issue Specific Hearing 3 (Public Rights of Way) (25 February 2025)

Action Point	Responsibility
<b>Action Point 1:</b> The ExA / PINS to confirm the discussions that have been had regarding the chosen location for this week's hearings.	ExA
<b>Action Point 2:</b> The Applicant to provide further details regarding the PRow surveys, including regarding methodology, margin of error and consultation with KCC.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 3:</b> The Applicant to respond to the ExA's question on the effect on the Project's generating capacity if the Project were to be designed around the PRow.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 4:</b> The Applicant to provide the full document reference for the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 5:</b> The Applicant to respond to the ExA's question regarding decommissioning funds and the suggestion of whether an additional requirement in the Draft DCO would be appropriate in this regard.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 6:</b> The Applicant to comment on the proposed amendments to requirement 14 of the Draft DCO regarding decommissioning.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 7:</b> The Applicant to comment on how quickly OS mapping would be updated if the PRows were changed in the way proposed for the Project.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 8:</b> The Applicant either: (i) to provide a reference to its detailed response to the submissions made by Mr Swarbrick at Deadline 1; or (ii) if no such detailed response has been provided to date then to provide a detailed response.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 9:</b> The Applicant to explain how it has gone about maximising opportunities to minimise the visual impacts on users of PRows to meet the policy tests in NPS EN-3.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 10:</b> The Applicant to respond to detailed submissions made by ABC about reducing the size of the Project and the advantages and disadvantages of tree belts in this landscape.	Applicant

Table 1-2 Open Floor Hearing 2 (25 February 2025)

Action Point	Responsibility
<b>Action Point 1:</b> The Applicant to update the ExA on the outcome of the ongoing archaeological site investigations.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 2:</b> The Applicant to confirm the extent of the assessment that has been carried out regarding the noise impact on dogs.	Applicant

Table 1-3 Issue Specific Hearing 4 (Battery Storage) (26 February 2025)

Action Point	Responsibility
<b>Action Point 1:</b> The Applicant to provide a written response to query raised relating to the grid connection date for the Project, that will meet Clean Power 2030 targets.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 2:</b> The Applicant to provide information about the distributed approach to BESS, rather than single location, in the design of the Project, including responding to Sir Melville's request to confirm the capacity of the BESS.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 3:</b> The Applicant to respond in detail to submissions made at Agenda Item 4 relating to adequacy of consultation in respect of BESS proposals.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 4:</b> The Applicant to provide more details relating to the Liverpool BESS fire and the more recent Tilbury fire, and to confirm that there were no injuries to the public.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 5:</b> The Applicant to provide written evidence to demonstrate that in the unlikely event of an incident no unacceptable impacts would arise in respect of gas emissions.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 6:</b> The Applicant to confirm the regularity of BESS maintenance.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 7:</b> The Applicant to confirm the procedure for inspection of BESS installations, and to confirm if this will be done by a certified agency.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 8:</b> The Applicant to confirm alternative BESS technologies that may be installed, rather than lithium-ion.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 9:</b> The Applicant to provide further information relating to how frequently the BESS units/modules will need to be replaced, and how spent units will be disposed of.	Applicant

Action Point	Responsibility
<b>Action Point 10:</b> Dr Bromley to submit noise calculations referred to during the hearing relating to effects at Handen Farm.	Dr Bromley
<b>Action Point 11:</b> Sir Melville to submit his oral submissions in writing.	AMSG
<b>Action Point 12:</b> The Applicant to respond in writing to comments raised relating to noise effects of BESS around Handen Farm.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 13:</b> The Applicant to respond in writing to detailed points raised by Aldington and Bonnington Parish Council relating to the OBSMP wording.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 14:</b> The Applicant to confirm the volume of water to be retained within sealed bunds as part of the Project.	Applicant

Table 1-4 **Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 2 (26 February 2025)**

Action Point	Responsibility
<b>Action Point 1:</b> The Applicant to provide relevant paragraph references to the extracts of the Sunnica Decision Letter referred to in oral submissions.	Applicant
<b>Action Point 2:</b> The Applicant to provide an updated SoCG with Network Rail.	Applicant